Three decades of deforestation in southwest Sumatra: Have protected areas halted forest loss and logging, and promoted re-growth?
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Much of the forest cover in southern Sumatra, Indonesia has been cleared since the early 1970s, but accurate estimates of the scales and rates of loss are lacking. This study combined high-quality remote sensing applications and extensive field surveys, both to provide an accurate picture of deforestation patterns across an area of 1.17 million ha in southwest Sumatra and to assess whether southwest Sumatra’s Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park (BBSNP) has halted forest loss and logging, and promoted re-growth, since its creation in 1984. Of the single large (692,850 ha) contiguous area of forest standing across our study area in 1972, nearly half (344,409 ha) has been cleared from 1972 to 2002, at an average rate per original forest cover of 1.69% y\textsuperscript{-1}. In Gunung Raya Wildlife Sanctuary (GRWS) and Hydrological Reserves (HR), forests have shrunk by 28,696 ha and 113,105 ha, at an average rate of 2.74% y\textsuperscript{-1} and 2.13% y\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. In contrast, forests in BBSNP have reduced four times more slowly than those in GRWS and HR, and have shrunk by 57,344 ha, at an average rate of 0.64% y\textsuperscript{-1}. Nevertheless, the forests within BBSNP were cleared almost as rapidly during the post-establishment, as during the pre-establishment, period (0.65% y\textsuperscript{-1} and 0.63% y\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively) despite the introduction of protection measures during the post-establishment period, following the government’s pledge to expand and protect Indonesia’s network of Protected Areas (PAs) at the 1982 Bali World Parks Congress. While these protection measures failed to slow down rates of forest loss caused by agricultural encroachments they reduced large-scale mechanised logging by a factor of 4.2 and stabilized some 8610 ha of agricultural encroachments, enabling forest re-growth.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
1. Introduction

Despite the dramatic loss of the world’s humid tropical forests (Achard et al., 2002), an interview-based study in 93 tropical terrestrial Protected Areas (PAs) world-wide suggests that >83% of the sites surveyed are maintaining or increasing their forest cover (Bruner et al., 2001). Several satellite-based studies concur with the conclusions of Bruner et al. (2001), and indicate that tropical terrestrial PAs are generally effective at slowing deforestation within their boundaries (Sanchez-Azofeifa et al., 2003; Defries et al., 2005; Naughton-Treves et al., 2005; Nepstad et al., 2006). Nevertheless, Defries et al. (2005) noted that Southeast Asian PAs inhibit forest loss less effectively than Latin America PAs. In Indonesian Borneo (Kalimantan) protected lowland forests declined by more than 56% during the period 1985 to 2001, mainly due to concession-based timber extraction and plantation establishment (Curran et al., 2004). In Indonesia’s second largest island (Sumatra), where forests declined by 6.7 million ha from 1985 to 1997 (FWI/GFW, 2002) the effectiveness of PAs at conserving forest habitat is also being questioned (Jepson et al., 2001; Kinnaird et al., 2003; Linkie et al., 2004). However, the lack of accurate estimates of the scales and rates of forest loss within Sumatran PAs has limited research on PA effectiveness in this part of the tropical world.

Here, we present a novel empirical approach to test the effectiveness of Sumatran PAs at conserving forest habitat. Our approach employs high-quality satellite-based data and extensive field surveys, rather than interviews (Bruner et al., 2001) that are vulnerable to the biases of informants (Nepstad et al., 2006). The method incorporates deforestation rates in and around PAs (Defries et al., 2005; Naughton-Treves et al., 2005; Nepstad et al., 2006) and deforestation rates before and after PA establishment (Liu et al., 2002). It also includes re-growth and logging mitigation that are rarely discussed in the literature on PA effectiveness. We examined data for the Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park (BBSNP) in Southern Sumatra because of its important areas of lowland tropical evergreen forest. In 1972, the BBSNP and two other reserves of lesser protection status protected parts of a single, large contiguous area of forest. First, we determined the fragmentation and deforestation rates of this forested area. Second, we compared the fragmentation and the rates of forest loss across unprotected forests and protected forests, to test whether unprotected forests have reduced more rapidly than protected forests and whether forests within PAs of lesser protection status have reduced more rapidly than forests within the BBSNP. Third, we measured the rates of forest loss before and after the BBSNP was declared a national park, to test whether the current BBSNP prevented agricultural encroachments. Fourth, we measured logging trail networks during BBSNP’s pre- and post-establishment phases, to test whether the current BBSNP prevented large scale mechanised logging. Finally, we measured forest re-growth after the BBSNP was declared a national park, to test whether the current BBSNP promoted forest re-growth.

2. Study area

Our study area extends for 220 km along the South Barisan Mountains in southwest Sumatra (Fig. 1), and encompasses 1.17 million ha of land stretching across Sumatra’s southern
provinces of Lampung, Bengkulu, and South Sumatra. Its southern and western sides are bordered by a 537 km coastline with the Indian Ocean, while its northern and eastern sides are defined by the limits of satellite coverage and limits of negligible cloud cover. The topography ranges in elevation from sea level to 2200 m asl. Rainfall is generally high, between 3000 and 4000 mm per year. In 1972, the study area comprised one large contiguous area of forest known as, the Bukit Barisan Selatan Forest Landscape (BBSFL). The BBSFL represents the maximum available forest area in our study site. 

Southern Sumatra’s human population has increased dramatically since the 1930s, and this region began to lose its forests sooner than any other Sumatran region (Benoit et al., 1989). Nevertheless, the BBSFL still contains one of the largest remaining tracts of lowland and hill rainforests on Sumatra. BBSFL is home to at least 118 species of mammal, 425 species of bird, 45 amphibian and reptile species, and 649 species of higher plant. These include large threatened mammals, such as Sumatran tigers (Panthera tigris), Asian elephants (Elephas maximus) and Sumatran rhinoceroses (Dicerorhinus sumatrensis) (O’Brien and Kinnaird, 1996). To conserve the fauna, flora and watershed functions of the BBSFL the Dutch colonial power established three reserves in southwest Sumatra during the 1930s. These comprised: the 324,494 ha South Sumatra I Nature Reserve (SSINR), the 47,782 ha Gunung Raya Wildlife Sanctuary (GRWS), and a 256,620 ha network of Hydrological Reserves (HR). In 1984, the South Sumatra I Nature Reserve was declared as the Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park (BBSNP). In 2004, the BBSNP was declared a World Heritage site by UNESCO (decision 28COM 14B. 5).

3. Methods

3.1. Mapping forest loss

3.1.1. Time series satellite data
To generate maps of changing forest cover across the study area, we acquired LANDSAT scenes for the years 1972 (MSS), 1985 (MSS) and 2002 (ETM+), all of which had negligible (less than 3.5%) cloud cover. The 1985 imagery was used to calculate deforestation rates during the BBSNP’s pre- and post-establishment periods. We geo-referenced the 2002 scenes to the ground with Ground Control Points (GCPs) collected in the field by the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) using a handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) set in the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection, Zone 48 South. Then, we matched the 1972 and 1985 scenes in x- and y- to the 2002 scenes through a second order polynomial co-registration technique (Schowengerdt, 1997). The spatial precision obtained was smaller than one pixel. We obtained additional cloud-free LANDSAT and aerial imagery for 1976, 1978, 1989, 1994, 1997 and 2000 in areas where large-scale mechanised logging had occurred to map the spatial distribution of logging trails (truck roads). The locations of these areas were identified from maps and reports of old timber concessions bordering BBSNP (Meijer, 1975) and by interviewing long-serving BBSNP staff.

3.1.2. Classification strategy
We identified forest and non-forest by analysing the reflection of sunlight from the Earth’s surface in three spectral regions: red, near infrared, and short wave infrared on the ETM+ data (bands 3, 4 and 5), and red and near infrared on the MSS data (bands 2, 3 and 4). The red and infrared bands were scattered less by the atmosphere than the blue and green bands (Born and Wolf, 1975) and therefore produced the highest available contrast between forest and non-forest.

We interpreted the imagery as a temporal progression of forest change to identify areas of deforestation. We defined ‘Deforestation’ as the complete removal of forest cover over an area equivalent to $>1$ ha. We defined the ‘forest’ class as non-modified forest areas of old-growth vegetation dominated by closed-canopy tree cover ($>50$%). ‘Non-forest’ comprised human settlements, Imperata cylindrica grasslands, and re-growth areas dominated by shrubs and young forest trees, paddy fields and tree crops. Tree crops included coffee and pepper gardens, cinnamon, coconut and oil palm plantations, orchards and an old-growth agro-forest dominated by Shorea javanica a species of Dipterocarp (Michon and de Foresta, 1992).

We used a gaussian Maximum Likelihood Classification (MLC) algorithm (Schowengerdt, 1997) to generate a classification of the study area into one forest class and one non-forest class. We filtered classification results to remove clumps of pixels whose corresponding size on the earth surface was $<1$ ha. We edited classification results visually by on-screen digitizing in areas of haze and in areas of high topographic complexity, where the MLC algorithm often produces mis-classification errors. We digitized logging trails manually by on-screen digitizing. Small-scale logging by local communities lacking heavy equipment remained undetected.

3.1.3. Topography and PA boundary
We employed the NASA SRTM Digital Elevation Model (DEM) (Rabus et al., 2003) to categorise forest types by elevation and slope. We placed forest areas into four elevation-based categories using the altitudinal zoning system proposed by van Steenis (1961): lowland: 0–500 m; hill: 500–1000 m; lower montane: 1000–1500 m; and, upper montane: 1500–2200 m asl. Forest areas were further categorised into four slope-based forest classes, using Indonesia’s Ministry of Forestry classification system: 0–8.5°, 8.5–24°, 24–45°, +45°.

The BBSNP boundary was obtained from the BBSNP Office at a scale of 1:25,000, and corrected in the field with GPS, by a team from the BBSNP Office, the Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS) and WWF. The GRWS and HR boundaries were obtained from the Ministry of Forestry at a scale of 1:250,000, but were rescaled to 1:25,000 in selected areas by the International Centre for Research in Agro forestry (ICRAF) and WCS, again using GPS.

3.1.4. Accuracy assessment
We employed aerial photography and high-spatial resolution IKONOS imagery as reference information to validate the accuracies of the LANDSAT MSS- & TM-based 1972 and 2002 forest maps. The aerial photograph was acquired in 1976 by the Indonesian air force. The IKONOS imagery was acquired in 2002 by the IKONOS-2 satellite. The 1976 and 2002 reference imagery covered a 43,000 ha and 27,000 ha area, respectively encompassing the primary forests over the full topographic range of BBSNP and adjacent agricultural areas. The forest area on the reference imagery was digitized manually using...
on-screen digitizing technique to create a reference forest map for year 1976 (in 1:25,000 scale) and for year 2002 (in 1:3,600 scale) directly comparable to the LANDSAT MSS- & TM-based 1972 and 2002 forest maps (in 1:108,000 scale).

We generated two confusion matrices (Aronoff, 1982; Foody, 1992) by assigning either forest or non-forest classes to (i) 220 points on the LANDSAT-MSS 1972 forest map and on its reference map (aerial photography-based) and to (ii) 145 points on the LANDSAT-TM 2002 forest map and its reference map (IKONOS -based). These points were chosen randomly, but with a separation distance of 1000 m between two points to minimize the effects of spatial autocorrelation (Koening, 1999). From each confusion matrix, we calculated the overall accuracy, po and the kappa coefficient, k (Cohen, 1960). We could not validate the accuracy of the 1985 forest map because we did not possess reference information for that year.

3.1.5. Forest fragmentation
To provide ecologically meaningful measures, we extracted fragmentation statistics only on forest fragments >an arbitrarily chosen threshold of 100 ha (McGarigal and Marks, 1994).

We measured the degree of isolation of each fragment by calculating the Nearest Neighbour Distance (NND) as an edge-to-edge distance from one fragment to its nearest neighbour. We measured the degree of roundness of each fragment by calculating the ratio, R between the minor and major axes of a fitted ellipse having equal area. We measured the proportion of forest area for each fragment in low and high altitudinal forest by calculating the ratio between the areas of forest at low altitude (<1000 m asl) and at high altitude (>1000 m asl). Likewise, we computed the ratio between the area of forest on gentle slopes (<16.5°) and on steep slopes (>16.5°).

As forest fragments sometimes fall across areas with different protection status, we ruled that a given fragment belonged to that particular protection status only when the latter enclosed more than 50% of the fragment area. Conversely, when more than 50% of a fragment fell into unprotected land, then that fragment was considered as unprotected. This rule enabled us to quantify the number of forest fragments by status of PA, in order to calculate their associated summary fragmentation statistics.

3.2. Mapping re-growth
While we could map forest loss and logging trails consistently across the study area with satellite imagery, we could not map forest re-growth by satellite alone because this land cover type produces similar reflectance values to those generated by smallholder tree crops, and vice versa (King, 2002). Therefore we combined our forest maps with field surveys to measure the amount of re-growth within the current BBSNP after it was declared a national park.

3.2.1. Satellite-based forest maps
The LANDSAT-based forest maps derived for 1972, 1985 and 2002 helped categorise the encroachments into BBSNP (defined as those areas within BBSNP where forest has been removed since 1972). These encroachments were classified as either ‘active’ or ‘inactive’, based on whether or not they had expanded in size during BBSNP’s post-establishment period, from 1985 to 2002. We predicted a predominance of re-growth areas and absence of agricultural land in the ‘inactive’ encroachments, but a predominance of agricultural land, and absence of re-growth in the ‘active’ encroachments. We subsequently verified our satellite-based assumptions with field surveys.

3.2.2. Field evaluation of forest re-growth in BBSNP
From September 2004 to May 2005, three teams from the BBSNP Office and WCS recorded which land use types have substituted natural forests within ‘active’ and ‘inactive’ encroachments into BBSNP. The forest edge and BBSNP boundary marked the limit of any areas of encroachment. Each team sampled agricultural and non-agricultural areas of land by walking along a line transect placed in each area of encroachment. Within non-agricultural areas, the teams sampled re-growth areas, grassland areas as well as recent clearings. The teams recorded this vegetation change in nearly all the encroachments to capture the entire spatial variability of forest conversion processes inside the park. Because of time constraints, the surveyors were prevented from measuring vegetation parameters using tools, as used in smaller scale, rigorous forest inventories (Husch et al., 1993). We placed the pre-determined line transect across the full topographic gradient of the encroachment to avoid the selective sampling of vegetation in more accessible areas, and we avoided positioning it along footpaths, to encourage surveyors to sample all the vegetation types within the encroachment.

Each field team carried a LANDSAT-based 108,000 scale map of the surveyed encroachment area under investigation. The map displayed the forest edge and BBSNP boundary to mark the border of the surveyed encroachment, topographic contour lines, road, village and river networks overlays and the position of the pre-determined line transect. The teams used a compass and handheld GPS unit to follow the direction of the line transect and a digital camera to photograph the vegetation. While walking each transect, the surveyors captured a GPS reading at the transition from agriculture to non-agriculture and from areas of re-growth to grasslands or to recent clearing (and vice-versa), and took a picture of the landscape. This surveying method provided a length measurement and photograph of every homogeneous stand of vegetation and land use type encountered along the line transect. The GPS point data and associated attributes were subsequently entered in a Geographic Information System (GIS) and converted to a length measurement, to partition the line transect into segments of varying lengths. Each segment had vegetation and land use type attribute and an associated photograph. The photograph was subsequently referred to, to ensure the three teams provided consistent results.

4. Results

4.1. Accuracy results
We generated a classification of the study area into forest and non-forest categories for 1972, 1985 and 2002. The overall accuracy for the 1972 and 2002 forest/non-forest classification is po_{1972} = 95.0% and po_{2002} = 95.8%, with kappa statistics of
1972 = 0.86 and \( k_{2002} = 0.88 \), respectively. We believe the classification accuracy for 1985 is as good as for 1972, given that the same sensors and processing methods were employed across each classification.

4.2. Deforestation patterns across the study area

Of the 1.17 million ha study area, over half (692,850 ha) was covered in natural forest in 1972 (Table 1, Fig. 1). This forest area constituted one single, large contiguous area of forest, the BBSFL. Lowland forests, \(<500 \text{ m asl}\), and hill forests, \(>500 \text{ m and } \leq 1000 \text{ m asl}\), accounted for 40% and 39% of this forest ecosystem, respectively.

By 2002, the overall size of BBSFL had reduced by nearly half (Table 1, Fig. 1), at an average rate per original forest cover of 1.69% \( \text{year}^{-1} \). The rates of loss of lowland, hill and lower montane forest areas were similar, averaging 1.7% \( \text{year}^{-1} \), 1.8% \( \text{year}^{-1} \) and 1.4% \( \text{year}^{-1} \), respectively (Fig. 2). In contrast, the rate of loss of upper montane forests was much lower at 0.2% \( \text{year}^{-1} \). Deforestation rates increased from 0.2% \( \text{year}^{-1} \) on extreme slopes of \(+45^\circ\), to 1.9% \( \text{year}^{-1} \) on gentle slopes \(<8.5^\circ\). Overall, 84% of the forest area lost across the BBSFL was of lowland and hill forest \(<1000 \text{ m asl}\). However, this loss did not alter the proportion of different forest types by elevation (Fig. 3).

The loss of forest cover resulted in the breaking up of BBSFL into smaller fragments. By 2002, BBSFL comprised 1094 forest fragments, but the size of these fragments was heavily skewed. Approximately 45% of the fragments were <2.7 ha, while the three largest fragments were 17,673 ha, 120,000 ha and 159,000 ha, respectively. Of the 1094 fragments, 32 fragments were >100 ha. The NND between these 32 fragments ranged from 0.06 km to 9.88 km (Table 2), and some 72% of the fragments were separated by more than 1 km. The roundedness \( (R) \) values of the forest fragments ranged from 0.21 to 0.91. Approximately 19% and 9% of the fragments were either round \( (R \geq 0.8) \), or highly elongated \( (R < 0.3) \), respectively, and the largest forest fragment had the most elongated shape \( (R = 0.21) \). Approximately 69% and 56% of the fragments contained a higher proportion of forest area at higher altitudes and on steep slopes, respectively (Table 2).

4.3. Deforestation patterns within PAs

In 1972, the combined area of PAs made up by the former SSINR, and by GRWS and HR protected 75% of the BBSFL (Table 1, Fig. 1). In the subsequent 30-year period, unprotected forests have reduced by 145,264 ha, representing an 84% loss of original forest cover, at an average rate of 2.86% \( \text{year}^{-1} \). In GRWS, forests have shrunk by 28,696 ha, representing an 81% loss of forest cover, at an average rate of 2.74% \( \text{year}^{-1} \), while forests in HR have reduced by 113,105 ha, representing a 62% loss of forest cover, at an average rate of 2.13% \( \text{year}^{-1} \). In contrast, the forest area of the current BBSNP has reduced by 57,344 ha, representing a 19% loss of forest cover, at an average rate of 0.64% \( \text{year}^{-1} \). As with the deforestation pattern across

| Table 1 – Statistics for losses in forest cover of the BBSFL from 1972 to 1985 and from 1985 to 2002, shown according to protected status |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Forest categories               | BBSFL | SSINR/BBSNP | HR | GRWS | Unprotected |
| Area of PA (ha)                 | —     | 324,494      | 256,620 | 47,782 | —          |
| Forest cover in 1972 (ha)       |       |              |     |      |            |
| Lowland forest                  | 279,983 | 124,297      | 39,409 | 115,629 |
| Hill forest                     | 268,545 | 120,626      | 86,551 | 42,824  |
| Lower montane forest            | 135,061 | 56,188       | 50,573 | 13,907  |
| Upper montane forest            | 9,261  | 2901         | 4,124  | 259     |
| All elevations                  | 692,850 | 304,012      | 180,657 | 96,594  |
| Forest cover in 2002 (ha)       |       |              |     |      |            |
| Lowland forest                  | 201,946 | 112,701      | 15,785 | 73,255  |
| Hill forest                     | 168,575 | 110,682      | 32,209 | 18,403  |
| Lower montane forest            | 97,904  | 52,397       | 31,187 | 4,677   |
| Upper montane forest            | 9,193  | 2901         | 4,095  | 259     |
| All elevations                  | 477,618 | 278,681      | 83,276 | 96,594  |
| Forest area reduction 1972–2002 (ha) |       |              |     |      |            |
| Lowland forest                  | 133,475 | 105,656      | 12,133 | 0      |
| Hill forest                     | 126,095 | 92,310       | 24,616 | 8,881   |
| Lower montane forest            | 80,043  | 45,851       | 26,733 | 2,666   |
| Upper montane forest            | 8,828  | 2851         | 4,070  | 122     |
| All elevations                  | 348,441 | 246,668      | 67,552 | 27,355  |
| Rate of forest loss 1972–2002 (% year \(^{-1}\)) | 1.69 | 0.64 | 2.13 | 2.74 | 2.86 |
the wider BBSFL, the rates of forest loss in the PAs were highest in the lowland, hill and lower montane forests, and rates of loss increased with decreasing slope angle (Fig. 2). This loss of forest cover significantly altered the proportional area of different forest types by elevation in the GRWS and HR, where the dominant forest type shifted from hill forest to lower montane forest (Fig. 3).

Fragmentation statistics also differed across PAs of different status (Table 2). The GRWS contained the fewest forest fragments \((n = 3)\) while the HR had the most fragments \((n = 16)\). The mean fragment size decreased with increasing rates of forest loss (Tables 1 and 2). Fragments in the HR and GRWS had the highest mean NND, of 3.00 km and 2.82 km, respectively. In contrast, the current BBSNP had the shortest mean NND of 1.25 km. The HR and GRWS also contained a higher proportion of fragments at high altitudes and on steep slopes than did the BBSNP.

### 4.4. Deforestation and logging rates during BBSNP’s pre- and post-establishment periods

During its pre- (1972–1985) and post-establishment (1985–2002) periods, the forests within the former SSINR and current BBSNP, have reduced at similar rates relative to the original (1972) forest area, and rates of loss have averaged 0.65% \(y^{-1}\) and 0.63% \(y^{-1}\), respectively (Fig. 4).

The logging trail network extended 123 km in the southern and western sections of the former SSINR, during the pre-establishment period of BBSNP (1972–1985). This network extended 29 km in the western and northern sections of BBSNP during its post-establishment period (1985–2002) (Fig. 4).

#### 4.5. Re-growth during BBSNP’s post-establishment period

Over the thirty years, an area of 57,344 ha of primary forest has been removed within the boundary of the BBSNP, with 48,734 ha and 8610 ha categorised as ‘active’, and ‘inactive’, respectively. Most ‘inactive’ encroachments were the subject of forced evictions in 1986, following the government’s pledge to intensify the protection of its PAs at the 1982 Bali World Parks congress.

The total transect length extended 365.3 km, with 342.7 km and 22.6 km in ‘active’ and ‘inactive’ encroachments, respectively.

Agricultural land occurred along 57.9% and 23.1% of the total lengths of ‘active’ and ‘inactive’ encroachments, respectively (Fig. 5).

In ‘inactive’ encroachments, re-growth occurred along 76.9% of the total transect length. This re-growth was dominated by tall shrubs and young forest trees characteristic of secondary forest and sometimes bamboo or wild ginger (Zingiberaceae). In ‘active’ encroachments, re-growth occurred along 34.5% of the total transect length. This re-growth was dominated by unattended coffee plantations and small shrubs characteristic of fallow vegetation.
These results confirm the predominance of forest regeneration (76.9%) in ‘inactive’ encroachments, and the predominance of agricultural land (57.9%) in ‘active’ encroachments. However, this survey also reports a significant presence of agricultural land (23.1%) in ‘inactive’ encroachments, and of fallow vegetation (34.5%) in ‘active’ encroachments.

### Table 2 – Summary of fragmentation statistics within areas of different protection status across the BBSFL in 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragmentation statistics in 2002</th>
<th>BBSFL</th>
<th>SSINR/ BBSNP</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>GRWS</th>
<th>Unprotected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area of PA (ha)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>324,494</td>
<td>256,620</td>
<td>47,782</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of fragments (&gt;80 ha)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragment size (ha)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11,012</td>
<td>41,300</td>
<td>3480</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min/Max</td>
<td>120/158,568</td>
<td>169/158,568</td>
<td>121/16,361</td>
<td>1325/2084</td>
<td>120/973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearest neighbour distance, NND (km)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min/Max</td>
<td>0.06/9.88</td>
<td>0.06/4.43</td>
<td>0.15/9.88</td>
<td>1.79/4.14</td>
<td>0.15/6.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of fragments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1000 m ; &gt;1000 m</td>
<td>10/22</td>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>2/14</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>4/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;16.5°; &gt;16.5°</td>
<td>14/18</td>
<td>6/1</td>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>4/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 – Proportions of different forest types by elevation, shown for each of the BBSFL, BBSNP, GRWS and HR, in 1972 and 2002.

5. **Discussion**

5.1. **Accurate maps of forest cover and change**

This study of deforestation over an extensive area of southwest Sumatra has generated maps of forest cover and forest
cover change for 1972, 1985 and 2002 at the very fine spatial scale of 1 ha with high classification accuracies for both non-forest and forest classes, appropriate for analysing fine scale changes in forest cover (Thomlinson et al., 1999). Nevertheless, our accuracy assessments were conducted on just a 6–7% spatial subset, and therefore the accuracies presented in this study may vary across the study area.

Our deforestation results merit comparison with those from the earlier study of deforestation over 70% of the area of BBSNP (Kinnaird et al., 2003), which suggested that forest cover had reduced by 66,190 ha from 1985 to 1999, representing a loss of forest cover of 2% y⁻¹ during that period. Our deforestation estimates were calculated within more accurate park boundaries, endorsed by the Park Office as the official boundary, and a more accurate classification method, all of which might explain discrepancies between the rates reported by Kinnaird et al. (2003) and this study.

5.2. Implications for wildlife conservation

In 1972, the former SSINR, and GRWS and HR, were embedded in a single, large contiguous area of forest known as the BBSFL. Wide ranging species of large mammal could easily disperse from one PA to another through the relative safety of the dense forest interior. However, the BBSFL had shrunk by nearly half in 2002, and had broken up into many small for-
As expected, the unprotected forests of southwest Sumatra have been cleared more rapidly than the protected forests. However, the protected forests of the GRWS and HR have been cleared almost as rapidly as the unprotected forests and have become severely fragmented and isolated (Tables 1 and 2), indicating that they have received little protection. Possibly, the GRWS and HR have been less effective than the BBSNP at conserving forests because these reserves do not possess their own management unit while the BBSNP does.

5.4. Park effectiveness

Notwithstanding its superior conservation capabilities compared to the other reserves, the BBSNP did not prevent forest loss after the SSINR was declared a national park in 1984. This finding was unexpected because the government of Indonesia implemented radical protection measures after the SSINR was declared a national park following its pledge to expand and protect Indonesia’s network of PAs at the 1982 Bali World Parks congress (Kusworo, 2000). These measures, of canceling logging permits and evicting rural communities, successfully reduced large-scale mechanized logging by a factor of 4.2 and stabilized some 8610 ha of encroachment, enabling forest re-growth. Nevertheless, they failed to slow down agricultural encroachments. The rapid growth of rural population through spontaneous migrations and the new found rights of the rural communities to shrug off the impositions of government agencies since the 1997–1998 economic crises might explain why the BBSNP failed to inhibit the expansion of agricultural encroachments. Whether deforestation has accelerated inside the park since 1997–1998, as Curran et al. (2004) have shown for Gunung Palung National Park in Kalimantan, is the subject of further research.

6. Conclusion

This study has showed that the performance of the BBSNP in Southern Sumatra at conserving forest habitats has achieved only mixed results. One the one hand, the BBSNP performed better than its neighbouring landscape, it halted the development of large-scale mechanised logging and to some extent promoted forest re-growth. On the other hand, it failed to slow down agricultural encroachments. This finding adds to the body of evidence that Southeast Asian PAs prevent forest loss less effectively than Latin American PAs (Curran et al., 2004; Linkie et al., 2004; Defries et al., 2005).

Broadly, this study demonstrates that the ratio of forest loss outside versus inside a PA boundary cannot measure the effectiveness of PAs at preventing forest loss reliably. Such a measure would have overestimated the effectiveness of the BBSNP at mitigating forest loss because the park performed better than its neighbouring landscape, and yet it failed to slow down agricultural encroachments. Therefore, we question whether the world’s tropical terrestrial PAs truly prevent forest loss as effectively as claimed, based on three regional studies that used this measure as their main target (Defries et al., 2005; Naughton-Treves et al., 2005; Nepstad et al., 2006).
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